The World Trade Organization

Advanced Committee

Chair: Spencer Guerreiro-Marsden

Co-Chair: Jude Avaali

WTO: Mandate and History of The World Trade Organization in Modern Times

On January 1, 1995, the last papers were signed, and the World Trade Organization (WTO) was officially established, replacing the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (GATT), which had governed international trade since 1948. The WTO was created to provide a more structured and permanent framework for global trade, one that could effectively address trade disputes, reduce barriers, and promote economic cooperation among nations. Today, the WTO is one of the most influential international organizations, with 164 member states, covering 98% of global trade. The WTO was born out of decades of negotiations aimed at creating a truly global and rules-based trade system. GATT, its predecessor, was originally designed to prevent protectionist policies that had contributed to the Great Depression and World War II. Over the years, however, as global trade expanded, GATT's informal and temporary agreements were no longer sufficient to manage the complexities of modern trade. The Uruguay Round (1986–1994) of negotiations ultimately led to the formation of the WTO, marking a fundamental shift in the way international trade was regulated. The WTO is founded on four key principles: non-discrimination, trade liberalization, predictability, and fair competition. These principles guide the organization's work in negotiating trade agreements, settling disputes, and monitoring global trade policies. Unlike GATT, which only dealt with goods, the WTO covers services, intellectual property, and investment measures, making it a far more comprehensive trade institution. The Dispute

Settlement Mechanism (DSM), one of the WTO's most powerful tools, allows members to resolve trade conflicts through a structured legal process, reducing the risk of unilateral trade wars. Despite its ambitious goals, the WTO has faced numerous challenges. Developing nations often struggle to gain fair access to global markets, as trade rules are frequently shaped by the interests of larger economies. While the WTO officially advocates for free and fair trade, its agreements often benefit wealthier nations, leaving smaller economies at a disadvantage. Efforts to reform trade policies through the Doha Development Round (2001–present) have largely stalled, with disputes over agricultural subsidies, tariffs, and intellectual property rights preventing meaningful progress. In today's rapidly evolving global economy, the WTO finds itself at a critical crossroads. The rise of protectionism, regional trade agreements, and geopolitical conflicts has called into question the organization's effectiveness in regulating international trade. At the same time, new trade challenges—including digital commerce, environmental sustainability, and the economic recovery of developing nations—require urgent solutions.

The WTO remains the central institution for shaping global trade policies, but it must evolve to address the growing inequalities between developed and developing economies.

Topic 1: Ensuring Equitable Access to Global Markets for Developing Nations

Introduction

The international trade system is built on the promise of opportunity, growth, and prosperity. Yet, for many developing nations, this promise remains out of reach. While developed economies have flourished in the age of globalization, many low-income nations remain locked out of major markets, unable to compete on equal footing due to unfair trade barriers, high tariffs, and structural disadvantages.

At its core, the World Trade Organization (WTO) was established to create a level playing field, ensuring that trade is free, fair, and accessible to all nations. However, the reality is that global trade rules have often favored wealthier economies, leaving developing nations struggling with limited market access, economic dependency, and vulnerability to external shocks. The Doha Development Agenda, launched in 2001, aimed to address these inequalities, but over two decades later, little progress has been made. The question remains: how can we create a truly inclusive global trade system that allows developing nations to thrive? With rising protectionism, shifting geopolitical alliances, and the emergence of new economic powers, the future of global trade hangs in the balance. Developing nations must be given a seat at the table—not as passive participants, but as equal stakeholders in shaping the international trade landscape. This committee will be tasked with finding solutions that not only remove trade barriers but also empower developing economies to compete on a global scale.

History

The issue of unequal access to global markets is deeply rooted in the historical structures of global trade. Many of today's developing nations were once colonial economies, designed to serve the industrialized world. Raw materials were extracted, processed abroad, and sold back at a premium—creating a cycle of economic dependence. Following decolonization, many newly independent nations turned to the global economy for growth, only to find themselves facing a system stacked against them. The General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (GATT), which preceded the WTO, largely focused on reducing tariffs among industrialized economies, leaving developing nations with little leverage to negotiate better trade terms. In the 1980s and 1990s, international institutions such as the International Monetary Fund (IMF) and World Bank promoted structural adjustment programs (SAPs), forcing many developing nations to open their economies to foreign investment and trade. While these policies were intended to spur growth, they often resulted in weakened domestic industries, currency devaluation, and increased economic dependency on wealthier nations.

The formation of the WTO in 1995 was meant to address these imbalances, with the inclusion of a special and differential treatment (SDT) framework to provide developing nations with flexibility in implementing trade rules. However, these measures have done little to protect smaller economies from being sidelined in global trade negotiations. The Doha Development Round, launched in 2001, was the WTO's most ambitious attempt to address trade inequalities, focusing on reducing tariffs on agricultural goods, improving market access for developing nations, and reforming global trade rules. However, due to persistent disagreements between developed and developing nations, negotiations have been stalled for over two decades, leaving many of the key issues unresolved.

Current Situation

Today, the global trade system faces new challenges that further complicate market access for developing nations. Such challenges include but are not limited to;

- **Rising Protectionism:** Many developed nations are increasing tariffs and trade barriers under the guise of protecting domestic industries. The US-China trade war, Brexit, and shifts in European trade policies have disrupted global supply chains, often leaving developing nations caught in the crossfire.
- **Digital Trade and E-Commerce:** The rise of digital commerce presents new opportunities but also new barriers. Many developing nations lack the infrastructure, regulatory frameworks, and digital literacy to fully participate in the global digital economy.

- **Climate and Sustainability Standards:** While environmental policies are crucial, new trade regulations tied to sustainability (such as the EU's Carbon Border Adjustment Mechanism) may exclude developing nations from major markets due to their reliance on resource-based exports.

- **Debt and Economic Instability:** The COVID-19 pandemic and economic downturns have deepened financial crises in many developing nations, making it even harder to compete in global markets.

Despite these challenges, many regional trade agreements (RTAs) and economic blocs—such as the African Continental Free Trade Area (AfCFTA) and ASEAN Free Trade Area (AFTA)—are emerging as alternative models for trade cooperation, giving developing nations more control over their economic futures.

Questions to Consider

1. How can the WTO ensure that trade agreements promote equitable market access for developing nations?
2. Should developed nations be required to lower tariffs on imports from developing economies?
3. How can developing nations increase their influence in global trade negotiations?
4. Should the WTO create stronger mechanisms to prevent trade discrimination against developing countries?
5. How can regional trade agreements complement WTO efforts in promoting fair trade?

Topic 2 : Reducing Trade Barriers in the Agricultural Sector

Introduction

Agriculture is the economic backbone of many developing nations, yet it remains one of the most protected and distorted sectors in global trade. While wealthy nations flood global markets with subsidized agricultural products, developing countries—despite their vast natural resources and agricultural potential—struggle to compete due to high tariffs, unfair trade policies, and limited access to global supply chains. For decades, agricultural trade has been a battleground for economic power and political influence. The WTO Agreement on Agriculture (AoA) was meant to reduce agricultural subsidies and open markets, but progress has been slow. The reality is that wealthy countries continue to protect their domestic agriculture industries, leaving farmers in developing nations facing an uphill battle in accessing global markets. If the global trade system is to be truly fair, the agricultural sector must be reformed to ensure that all nations—regardless of economic status—can compete on equal footing.

History

The modern agricultural trade system is deeply rooted in colonial-era policies, where cash crops were grown in developing regions and exported to industrialized markets. This system left many post-colonial nations dependent on raw commodity exports, making them vulnerable to global price fluctuations and trade barriers. During the 20th century, wealthy nations implemented extensive subsidy programs to protect their farmers, resulting in artificially low prices that made it impossible for farmers in developing countries to compete. The European Union's Common Agricultural Policy (CAP) and the United States' Farm Bill are two of the largest agricultural subsidy programs, providing billions of dollars in support to domestic farmers each year. The WTO's Agreement on Agriculture (AoA), established in 1995, was supposed to reduce trade-distorting subsidies, but enforcement has been weak. The Doha Round negotiations aimed to further reform agricultural trade, but developed nations refused to fully eliminate subsidies, leading to a deadlock.

Current Situation

For a brief moment, the global agricultural trade system seemed to be moving toward greater fairness. In 2021, discussions within the WTO suggested a renewed push to reform agricultural subsidies and lower trade barriers. Several developing nations formed coalitions to challenge protectionist policies in wealthier countries, while international organizations pushed for policies that would empower small-scale farmers and reduce global food insecurity. However, much of this progress has stalled or even reversed due to a series of global crises that have reshaped trade priorities. The first major blow came with Russia’s invasion of Ukraine in 2022, which severely disrupted global grain markets. Ukraine, a major wheat exporter, saw its agricultural exports plummet, triggering food shortages and price spikes worldwide. The situation worsened when Russia temporarily blocked Ukrainian grain exports through the Black Sea, exacerbating fears of a global food crisis. Many developing nations, particularly in Africa and South Asia, heavily depend on imported wheat and fertilizer from Ukraine and Russia. As prices surged, governments struggled to provide affordable food for their populations, leading to widespread inflation, protests, and political instability. In response to these disruptions, several wealthy nations doubled down on protectionist agricultural policies. The United States expanded its farm subsidies under the 2023 Farm Bill, funneling billions of dollars into domestic agricultural support programs, further distorting international markets. The European Union, under pressure from farmers’ unions, tightened its Common Agricultural Policy (CAP), reinforcing subsidies and maintaining high tariffs on foreign agricultural imports. Even countries that once pushed for free trade, such as the United Kingdom, began imposing stricter import restrictions, citing food security concerns. By late 2023, the situation took another turn when developing nations, frustrated by the lack of progress in WTO negotiations, began taking matters into their own hands. Brazil, India, and South Africa, key agricultural exporters in the Global South, announced new regional trade agreements that bypassed traditional WTO structures. Some African nations began shifting their agricultural trade partnerships toward China, hoping to gain fairer market access and better infrastructure investments. Meanwhile, international organizations such as the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) and the World Bank called for urgent reforms to prevent a deepening global food crisis, warning that continued trade distortions could push millions into poverty and hunger.

Tensions escalated further in early 2024, when widespread farmer protests erupted across France, Germany, and the Netherlands. European farmers, facing higher environmental regulations and competition from cheaper imports, staged mass demonstrations, blocked highways, and dumped produce outside government buildings. The EU responded by temporarily scaling back environmental trade policies, frustrating many developing nations that had spent years adjusting their agricultural exports to meet sustainability standards. In the midst of these ongoing crises, the WTO faces one of its most significant challenges in decades. The organization, already weakened by years of stalled negotiations, now finds itself caught between two competing interests—wealthy nations seeking to protect domestic agriculture and developing nations fighting for fairer access to global markets. With global food security at stake, inflation still gripping many economies, and trade wars looming on the horizon, the decisions made in this committee will have real and immediate consequences. If agricultural trade remains as restricted and imbalanced as it is today, developing nations will continue to bear the brunt of food crises and economic instability—further widening the gap between the Global North and Global South.

Questions to Consider

1. Should WTO rules be reformed to limit agricultural subsidies in developed nations, and if so, what mechanisms could be implemented to ensure compliance?

2. What steps can be taken to reduce tariffs and non-tariff barriers on agricultural exports from developing nations without compromising food security in importing countries?

3. How can the WTO facilitate greater market access for small-scale farmers in developing nations, ensuring they can compete with large agribusinesses?

4. What role do regional trade agreements (such as AfCFTA and Mercosur) play in improving agricultural trade conditions, and how can they complement WTO efforts?

5. How should the WTO address the increasing use of environmental and sustainability standards in agricultural trade to ensure they do not become disguised trade barriers for developing countries?

6. Should developing nations prioritize alternative trade partnerships outside of the WTO framework, or is WTO reform a more viable solution for achieving fair agricultural trade?

7. How can developing nations leverage the rise of digital trade and e-commerce to improve agricultural exports and access new markets?

8. What strategies can be implemented to ensure that future global food crises do not disproportionately harm developing nations due to trade imbalances and protectionist policies?

Bibliography

World Trade Organization (WTO). (2024). *Understanding the WTO: Basics*. Retrieved from https://www.wto.org

Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO). (2024). *The State of Agricultural Commodity Markets 2024*. Retrieved from https://www.fao.org

United Nations Conference on Trade and Development (UNCTAD). (2023). *Trade and Development Report 2023.* Retrieved from https://unctad.org

International Monetary Fund (IMF). (2023). *Global Economic Outlook: The Impact of Trade Barriers on Developing Economies.* Retrieved from https://www.imf.org

World Bank. (2024). *Agricultural Trade and Market Access: Challenges for Developing Nations.* Retrieved from https://www.worldbank.org

European Commission. (2024). *Reforming the Common Agricultural Policy (CAP): Implications for Global Trade*. Retrieved from https://ec.europa.eu

United States Department of Agriculture (USDA). (2023). *The 2023 Farm Bill and Its Impact on International Trade*. Retrieved from https://www.usda.gov

African Union (AU). (2023). *The African Continental Free Trade Area (AfCFTA) and Agricultural Trade in Africa.* Retrieved from https://au.int

Reuters. (2024). *Farmers Protest Across Europe: The Fight Over Agricultural Trade Policies*. Retrieved from https://www.reuters.com

The Guardian. (2023). *Global Food Crisis: The Role of Trade Barriers and Protectionism. Retrieved* from https://www.theguardian.com